Modeling intermediate band solar cells: a roadmap to high efficiency
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ABSTRACT

Intermediate band (IB) photovoltaics have the potential to be highly efficient and cost effective solar cells. When the IB concept was proposed in 1997, there were no known intermediate band materials. In recent years, great progress has been made in developing materials with intermediate bands, though power conversion efficiencies have remained low. To understand the material requirements to increase IB device efficiencies, we must develop good models for their behavior under bias and illumination. To evaluate potential IB materials, we present a figure of merit, consisting of parameters that can be measured without solar cell fabrication. We present a new model for IB devices, including the behavior of their junctions with n- and p-type semiconductors. Using a depletion approximation, we present analytic approximations for the boundary conditions of the minority carrier diffusion equations. We compare the analytic results to Synopsys Sentaurus device models. We use this model to find the optimal thickness of the IB region based on material parameters. For sufficiently poor IB materials, the optimal thickness is zero – i.e., the device is more efficient without the IB material at all. We show the minimum value of the figure of merit required for an IB to improve the efficiency of a device, providing a clear goal for the quality of future IB materials.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Standard solar cells made from a single semiconductor $p_n$ junction are the overwhelming majority of the rapidly expanding solar industry. Shockley and Queisser showed that such an architecture has a theoretical maximum efficiency of 32% in unconcentrated sunlight or 41% in concentrated sunlight, since semiconductors can absorb only photons of energy greater than their band gap, lose any excess photon energy to thermalization, and must have radiative recombination processes. There have been many efforts to exceed the Shockley-Queisser limit, with experimental success achieved by multijunction solar cells. There are a number of other high-efficiency concepts (including hot carrier, multiple exciton generation, upconversion, and downconversion), none of which has achieved experimental efficiency exceeding the Shockley-Queisser limit.

In 1997, Luque and Martí proposed another high-efficiency concept, the intermediate band solar cell (IBSC). The IBSC is a $p$-IB-$n$ structure, with the $p$- and $n$-type regions formed from a large-bandgap semiconductor (band gap ideally 1.9 eV) and the intermediate band (IB) region consisting of a modified semiconductor with a large band gap and an extra set of allowed electronic levels entirely contained inside the band gap, illustrated in Fig. 1. The extra levels in the IB region allow absorption of sub-band gap photons, which promote an electron from the valence band (VB) to the IB and from the IB to the conduction band (CB). In ideal conditions, the voltage is still determined by the band gap of the surrounding $p$- and $n$-type materials, allowing an increase in current compared to the Shockley-Queisser limit. Under full concentration, such a device has an efficiency limit of 63%, equivalent to an ideal triple-junction cell.

In 1997, there were no known materials that had such a band structure. Semiconductor quantum dots such as InAs in GaAs provide a band structure similar to that required for IBSC and have been widely studied. More recently, a number of engineered semiconductor systems have been reported to display the IB band structure. The most widely studied is the class of highly-mismatched alloys, including dilute nitrides and ZnTe:O.
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Silicon heavily doped with a range of deep-level-forming impurities has also been studied, and there are a number of theoretical proposals of crystalline materials with IB band structures. Progress in improving the efficiency of IBSC devices requires that we have good models of carrier transport, to allow predictions and optimizations of device performance. In this paper, we present progress toward a one-dimensional model for the uncoupled equations of motion of electrons and holes in an IB device. We verify the boundary conditions using the commercial device modeling software TCAD Sentaurus, which solves the coupled electron and hole transport equations but cannot model the IB absorption processes. Given fixed material properties for an IB material (absorption coefficients, carrier lifetimes, mobilities, etc.), we use our model to determine the optimal thickness of the IB layer and to find the maximum efficiency IBSC device that can be built. We conclude that a simple figure of merit gives a good indication of when an IB material is of sufficient quality to produce high-efficiency solar cells.

2. FIGURE OF MERIT

Creating useful solar cells involve sometimes difficult growth and fabrication issues; power conversion efficiencies can be limited by issues such as contacts and interfaces. For a still-novel architecture such as IBSC, it is helpful to have figures of merit to characterize the quality of the absorber material alone. A figure of merit for IB absorber materials \( \nu \) was proposed in Ref. 26 and has been measured in one candidate material. The figure of merit is the ratio, in a device of optimal IB thickness, of the lifetime \( \tau \) of an electron in the IB region to the transit time \( t \) for an electron to cross the IB region. In the case of a solar cell where there is a static electric field throughout the IB region (as in the intrinsic region of a \( p-i-n \) device), so the carriers primarily move by drift, \( \nu \) can be written as

\[
\nu_{\text{drift}} = \frac{E_g}{q} \frac{\alpha^2 \mu \tau}{q},
\]

where \( E_g \) is the band gap, \( q \) is the electric charge, \( \alpha \) is the mean sub-gap absorptivity in the IB region, and \( \mu \) is the carrier mobility.* As \( \alpha \) increases, the IB region can be made thinner, allowing lower-quality materials (measured by \( \mu \tau \)) to be effective. There are two figures of merit, one each for electrons and for holes; note that both electrons and holes can be considered minority carriers in the IB region. In the case that the IB region is flat-band, i.e., has no electric field, the carriers must diffuse and the figure of merit is smaller, becoming

\[
\nu_{\text{diff}} = \frac{k_B T}{q} \frac{\alpha^2 \mu \tau}{q},
\]

*Reference 26 had the built-in voltage \( V_{bi} \) in place of \( E_g/q \). But since \( V_{bi} \leq E_g/q \) and \( E_g \) is a material property independent of the doping of the surrounding material, it is preferable to use \( E_g/q \).
where \( k_b \) is Boltzmann’s constant and \( T \) is the temperature. Using the Einstein relation, \( \nu_{\text{diff}} = (L\alpha)^2 \), where \( L \) is the diffusion length.

These figures of merit involve only measurable parameters of the IB absorber material and thus can be determined without solar cell fabrication. They clearly do not capture all of the requirements for efficient IBSC as they do not depend on the IB energy level and thus its matching to the solar spectrum. Measurement of \( \nu \) for candidate IB materials can screen whether they can make efficient devices. The figure of merit has been measured in one experimental system, silicon highly doped with sulfur, finding \( \nu_{\text{diff}} < 0.2 \) for electrons at 36 K.\textsuperscript{27}

3. DEVICE MODEL

We now introduce a device model in order to evaluate, as a function of \( \nu \), what efficiency we can expect from an IBSC device of particular band gaps. A number of previous device models for IBSC have been produced,\textsuperscript{28–33} including different levels of detailed balance, carrier transport, and recombination. Those that model carrier transport assume that there is a static electric field in the IB region, as is appropriate for an IB created by quantum dots (due to their low concentration) or an IB that has no carriers at equilibrium. The ideal IB is half-filled at equilibrium and has a large number of states (to maximize absorption in a thin layer – \( \alpha^2 \) in the figure of merit), and such a material should produce a flat-band, no-electric-field condition in the intermediate band, as in Fig. 1. Here we introduce a model for the case of such a flat-band device, and we will focus on \( \nu_{\text{diff}} \), which we will call \( \nu \). We will present full details of the model in a later publication.

At the interface between the \( n \)- and IB-type materials, the IB behaves like the \( p \)-type side of an \( np \) junction. Electrons move from the \( n \)-type material to fill empty states in the IB, producing a built-in voltage \( V_{bi}^{NI} \). Making a depletion approximation, where the donors and ionized (filled) IB states are the only source of charge density in this region, one can calculate depletion widths in a similar fashion to the depletion approximation, where the donors and ionized IB states are the only source of charge density.

In the \( n \)- and IB-type regions, the holes obey the minority carrier diffusion equation with diffusion constants \( D_{pN} \) and \( D_{pI} \), respectively. Similarly for electrons in the IB- and \( p \)-type regions.

\[
D_{pX} \frac{\partial^2 \Delta p}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\Delta p}{\tau_{pX}} = -G_{pX}(x)
\]

\[
D_{nX} \frac{\partial^2 \Delta n}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\Delta n}{\tau_{nX}} = -G_{nX}(x)
\]

for \( X \in \{N,I,P\} \). The lifetimes \( \tau_{cX} \) include radiative and nonradiative processes. We define diffusion lengths \( L_{cX} = \sqrt{D_{cX} \tau_{cX}} \) for \( c \in \{p,n\} \) and \( X \in \{N,I,P\} \). The generation rates are modeled as

\[
G_{pN}(x) = I_{CV} \alpha_{CV} e^{-\alpha_{CV}(x-x_0)}
\]

\[
G_{pI}(x) = I_{IV} \alpha_{IV} e^{-\alpha_{IV}(x-x_1)}
\]

\[
G_{nI}(x) = I_{CI} \alpha_{CI} e^{-\alpha_{CI}(x-x_1)}
\]

\[
G_{nP}(x) = 0,
\]

where \( I_{CV} \) is the incident photon flux with photon energy greater than \( E_g \), \( I_{IV} \) is the incident photon flux with photon energy between \( E_g - \Delta E \) and \( E_g \), and \( I_{CI} \) is the incident photon flux with photon energy between \( \Delta E \) and \( E_g - \Delta E \). To determine the maximal efficiency attainable with fixed \( \nu \), we assume that the \( n \)- and \( p \)-type

\footnote{Reference 27 reported \( \nu < 0.05 \) but used a definition of \( \nu \) that differed by a factor of 4 from Eq. 1.}
regions are essentially ideal, with \( l_{N}^{P} \), \( l_{P}^{N} \), \( \alpha_{CV} L_{N} \gg 1 \), where \( l_{N} = x_{1} - x_{0} \) and \( l_{P} = x_{3} - x_{2} \) (with reference to Fig. 1), and minority-carrier surface recombination velocities of zero at the front and back contacts, so all of the above-gap light is absorbed in the emitter and essentially all of the carriers make it to the junction with the IB materials. In keeping with the simplicity of the model, we take the incident solar spectrum to be from a black body at temperature 6000 K and consider full concentration of 46,500 suns. With an applied bias \( V_{app} \) placed across the device, we must determine how much of it drops across the \( n \)-IB junction and how much across the IB-\( p \) junction. As in any circuit at steady state, the total electrical current must be uniform, so we determine the separate voltage drops by requiring that the currents \( J_{p}(x_{1}) + J_{n}(x_{1}) = J_{p}(x_{2}) + J_{n}(x_{2}) \).

To solve this system, we need eight boundary conditions at the interfaces \( x_{i} \). We set minority-carrier surface recombination velocities to zero at \( x_{0} \) and \( x_{3} \), modeling an ideal cell with a front-surface and back-surface field. In keeping with our thin-junction approximation, we set the hole current \( J_{p} \) to be constant across \( x_{1} \) and the electron current \( J_{n} \) to be constant across \( x_{2} \). We use a modified version of the law of the junction for \( \Delta n(x_{1}^{+}) \) and \( \Delta p(x_{2}^{-}) \) and to relate \( \Delta p(x_{1}^{-}) \) to \( \Delta p(x_{1}^{+}) \) and \( \Delta n(x_{2}^{-}) \) to \( \Delta n(x_{2}^{+}) \). We take

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta n(x_{1}^{+}) &= n_{0f}(e^{V_{NI}/k_{b}T} - 1) \\
\Delta p(x_{2}^{+}) &= p_{0f}(e^{V_{IP}/k_{b}T} - 1) \\
\Delta p(x_{1}^{-}) &= p_{0N}(e^{V_{NI}/k_{b}T} - 1) + \Delta p(x_{1}^{+}) \frac{p_{0N}}{p_{0f}} e^{V_{NI}/k_{b}T} \\
\Delta n(x_{2}^{-}) &= n_{0P}(e^{V_{IP}/k_{b}T} - 1) + \Delta n(x_{2}^{+}) \frac{n_{0P}}{n_{0f}} e^{V_{IP}/k_{b}T} \\
n(x_{2}^{+}) &= n(x_{2}^{-}) \frac{n_{0P}}{n_{0f}} e^{V_{IP}/k_{b}T} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( n_{0P}, n_{0f}, p_{0f}, p_{0N} \) are the equilibrium concentrations of electrons and holes in the \( p \)-type region, IB region and \( n \)-type regions and \( V_{NI}, V_{IP} \) are the voltage drops across the \( n \)-IB and IB-\( p \) junctions, respectively. Future work will derive these conditions and discuss modifications to them and their range of validity. For use in Sec. 4, we provide Eq. 3, which is a rewriting of Eq. 2. In the next section we use comparisons with more sophisticated device modeling software to justify these boundary conditions. Together, these conditions suffice to give \( J(V) \) and the power conversion efficiency \( \eta \).

4. JUSTIFICATION OF BOUNDARY CONDITIONS WITH TCAD SENTAURUS

The proposed boundary conditions were compared with the results of numerical calculations performed using the TCAD Sentaurus package (version vG-2012.06) from Synopsys Inc. – a commercially available semiconductor device simulation package capable of solving the Poisson equation coupled to the electron and hole current-continuity equations at all mesh points of a given structure.\(^{36}\) The numerical calculations consist of two steps: first, a transfer-matrix method is used to calculate optical reflection and depth-dependent intensity, allowing to compute the optical generation (absorption) within each layer of the junction. Second, the calculated optical generation is used as an input to the main equation solver, where the coupled Poisson and drift-diffusion equations are solved. These equations take into account the important semiconductor quantities such as mobilities, Fermi statistics for carrier concentrations, electron and hole effective masses, their corresponding effective conduction and valence band density of states, generation, and recombination phenomena. To calculate a \( J-V \) curve, the equations are solved repeatedly as the bias on the contacts is swept over a range of voltages. Similar modeling was performed in Refs. 37-38.

For the results presented below, the \( n \)-IB-\( p \) structure is discretized in a 1D grid representing a symmetry element of the complete junction based on the assumption that no significant effects occur at the edges of the junction. We have modeled a simplified version of GaAs with a goal of testing the boundary conditions of Section 3 rather than modeling a particular material. The band gap of all regions was taken to be 1.42 eV. In the \( n \)- and \( p \)-type regions, respectively, \( N_{D} = N_{A} = 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). The IB layer was modeled by introduction of additional half-filled allowed energy levels (traps) with \( \Delta E = 0.27 \text{ eV} \). These states are constructed from additional donors and acceptor traps of equal concentration \( 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) with scattering cross section of \( 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^{2} \), but no Shockley-Read-Hall recombination was included. The simulations were performed at 298 K device temperature.
Figure 2. (a) Band diagram of \( n \)-IB-\( p \) junction with applied voltage \( V=0.2V \). The quasi-Fermi levels for electrons \( E_{fe} \) and holes \( E_{fh} \) are clearly split. Two depletion regions are clearly established at the junctions, with flat-band conditions between. (b) Electric field near the IB-\( p \) junction. Dashed vertical lines denote the limits of the depletion region, which extends mostly into the \( p \)-type side, determined as the points where \( E = 1 \) V/cm. (c) Close up of the band diagram near the IB-\( p \) junction.

Figure 3. Comparison of Eq. 3 to the results from the Sentaurus simulations in the dark. The analytic result is highly accurate until the voltage approaches the built-in voltage across the device, approximately 1.3V. The applied voltage drops almost entirely across the IB-\( p \) junction, because the IB is closer to the CB than the VB.

The hole and electron mobilities have been set as constant in each region (with no doping or concentration dependence). The \( n \)- and \( p \)-region electron and hole mobilities were set to \( \mu_e = 8557 \) cm\(^2\)V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\) and \( \mu_h = 1620 \) cm\(^2\)V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\), respectively; \( \mu_e \) is the Sentaurus default for GaAs while we have increased \( \mu_h \) from the default by a factor of 4. In the IB layer the mobilities are \( \mu_e = 9533 \) cm\(^2\)V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\) and \( \mu_h = 500 \) cm\(^2\)V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\), chosen from the model of Ref. 39.

The only recombination process considered is radiative recombination, while thermionic emission is included to allow carrier transport across small barriers at heterointerfaces. Since Sentaurus does not permit simulation of the optical absorption due to the traps that create the IB, we present results from Sentaurus only in the dark.

The band structure of the device is shown in Fig. 2a with an applied bias of 0.2 V. The flat-band condition is clearly followed. Figs. 2b,c show the size of the depletion region for this case and that it extends mostly into the (more lightly doped) semiconductor region. Figure 3 shows that Eq. 3 is obeyed with high precision until the voltage approaches the built-in voltage. Similar agreement is found for the other analytic boundary conditions, which gives us confidence that the analytic model is able to describe this type of device accurately.
5. RESULTS

We applied the analytical model of Sec. 3 to study a system with the ideal IB band gaps under full concentration, $E_g = 1.9$ eV and $\Delta E = 0.7$ eV. The precise values of the material parameters chosen are not essential – the interesting part of this model is the dependence of $\eta$ on $\nu$. We chose the system to have identical electron and hole transport and recombination properties, except for radiative recombination, with $N_A = N_D = 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the $p$- and $n$-type sides, $\alpha_{IC} = \alpha_{VI} = \alpha_I$ and $L_{pl} = L_{nI} = L_I$. We calculate the radiative lifetimes $\tau_r$ in the usual way$^{34}$ and include a nonradiative lifetime $\tau_{nr} = 1 \mu$s for both electrons and holes, with $\tau^{-1} = \tau_r^{-1} + \tau_{nr}^{-1}$. We fix $\alpha_I = 1$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$, $L_I = \sqrt{\nu/\alpha_I}$. We characterize the IB by its optical depth $OD = \alpha_I(x_2 - x_1)$, which we vary to find the optimum efficiency for fixed $\nu$. The IB is taken to be half-filled outside the depletion regions.

Figure 4 shows the optimized OD and resulting maximum power conversion efficiency $\eta$ as a function of figure of merit $\nu$ for this model. For small $\nu$, the efficiency is 22% and the optimum cell has an IB OD of essentially zero; that is, the highest efficiency cell has no IB at all. As $\nu$ increases, the ideal cell has a larger IB region and increasing efficiency. The turn-on is somewhere between $\nu = 0.1$ and $\nu = 1$, with significant improvements in efficiency possible for $\nu > 1$. Note that for $OD < 0.14$ (which occurs for $\nu \lesssim 0.07$), in these simulations the IB is thinner than the depletion regions found in Sec. 4, so these results should be disregarded, as the flat-band condition cannot apply.

We conclude that IB materials should have $\nu \gtrsim 1$ before it is worthwhile to build PV devices and expect an improvement in efficiency compared to a device without an IB.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We introduce an analytical model for IBSC devices in the diffusive (flat-band) limit and check the validity (in the dark) of its approximate boundary conditions using simulations in the commercial modeling package TCAD Sentaurus. We use a simple figure of merit $\nu$ to describe the potential of IB absorber layers and find that until $\nu$ approaches 1, there is no efficiency gain produced by the IBSC architecture, for the case of band gaps optimally matched to the fully-concentrated solar spectrum and ideal $p$ and $n$ regions. We believe that measurements of $\nu$ for candidate IB materials, as in Ref. 27, are an excellent way to screen the quality of such materials before building devices. Once $\nu$ is known (for both electrons and holes) in the absorber material, a model as presented here can predict the optimal thickness for maximum power conversion efficiency.
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